## TABLE OF CONTENTS

$\mathbf{P}$	PREFACE	
LIGHT REFLECTIONS		x
1	LIGHT AND RELATED PHENOMENA	
	OVERVIEW	1
	NOMENCLATURE	1
	POLARIZED LIGHT	3
	RELATIONSHIPS	4
	REFRACTIVE INDEX	5
	ISOTROPIC AND ANISOTROPIC MEDIA	5
	QUESTIONS AND PROBLEMS	6
2	LIGHT IN ISOTROPIC MEDIA	
	OVERVIEW	7
	REFLECTION AND REFRACTION OF RAYS	8
	DISPERSION	. 10
	LIGHT ABSORPTION AND COLOR TRANSMISSION	13
	EFFECT OF ABSORPTION ON DISPERSION	15
	QUESTIONS AND PROBLEMS	16
3	LENSES AND THE COMPOUND MICROSCOL	PE
	OVERVIEW	17
	NOMENCLATURE AND TYPES OF LENSES	17
	THIN-LENS FORMULA AND GRAPHIC SOLUTIONS	18
	THE COMPOUND MICROSCOPE	21
	QUESTIONS AND PROBLEMS	23
4	THE POLARIZING LIGHT MICROSCOPE	
	OVERVIEW	25
	INTRODUCTION	25
	ELEMENTS AND THEIR FUNCTION	26
	ADJUSTMENTS OF THE MICROSCOPE	33
	STUDENT MODEL PLMS	35
5	OPTICAL EXAMINATION OF ISOTROPIC S	UBSTANCES
	OVERVIEW	39
	INTRODUCTION	40
	REFRACTIVE INDEX MEASUREMENT IN LIQUIDS	40
	REFRACTIVE INDEX MEASUREMENT IN SOLIDS	41

	DETERMINATION OF $n_{D,g}$ BY BECKE LINES	
	OR OBLIQUE ILLUMINATION	49
	DETERMINATION OF $n_{D,g}$ BY DISPERSION STAINING	51
	COMPARISON OF METHODS	59
	PRACTICAL PROCEDURES	60
	RECOMMENDED READINGS	62
6	OPTICAL INDICATRICES AND ELLIPSES	
	OVERVIEW	63
	REVIEW OF TERMINOLOGY	64
	GENERAL CONCEPT OF THE INDICATRIX	65
	THE ISOTROPIC INDICATRIX	65
	THE UNIAXIAL INDICATRIX	67
	SUMMARY	80
7	THE INTERFERENCE OF LIGHT	
	OVERVIEW	83
	WAVES POLARIZED IN THE SAME PLANE	84
	WAVES POLARIZED IN PERPENDICULAR PLANES	86
	TRANSMISSION BY THE ANALYZER	90
	INTERFERENCE COLORS	91
	ORTHOSCOPIC AND CONOSCOPIC OBSERVATION	
	OF INTERFERENCE EFFECTS	95
	ORTHOSCOPIC EXAMINATION OF CRYSTALS	96
	CONOSCOPIC EXAMINATION (INTERFERENCE FIGURES)	96
	TYPES OF UNIAXIAL INTERFERENCE FIGURES	100
8	OPTICAL EXAMINATION OF UNIAXIAL CRYSTALS	
	OVERVIEW	107
	PREPARATION OF THE SAMPLE	108
	ESTABLISHMENT OF UNIAXIALITY	108
	COMPENSATORS AND COMPENSATION	109
	DETERMINATION OF OPTIC SIGN	111
	MEASUREMENT OF REFRACTIVE INDICES	114
	DETERMINATION OF RETARDATION AND BIREFRINGENCE	117
	EXTINCTION ANGLES: SIGN OF ELONGATION	120
	ABSORPTION AND PLEOCHROISM	122
	ABNORMAL INTERFERENCE COLORS	123
	MINERAL IDENTIFICATION	124
	DEVICE OF CONTRACTORS	124

9	INTRODUCING THE SPINDLE STAGE	
	OVERVIEW	125
	INTRODUCTION	125
	THE DETENT SPINDLE STAGE	125
	PRE-ADJUSTMENTS OF THE MICROSCOPE	126
	CARTESIAN COORDINATE SYSTEM FOR MICROSCOPES	127
	AXES OF ROTATION	127
	DETERMINING OPTIC SIGN, $arepsilon$ , AND $\omega$	128
	WEB SITE SPINDLE STAGES	132
10	BIAXIAL CRYSTALS	
	OVERVIEW	135
	INTRODUCTION	136
	BIAXIAL INDICATRIX	137
	GEOMETRIC RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN WAVE NORMALS,	
	VIBRATION DIRECTIONS, AND RAY PATHS	143
	BIAXIAL INTERFERENCE FIGURES	149
	RECOGNITION OF INTERFERENCE FIGURES	153
	DISPERSION AND CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC	
	ORIENTATION OF $X$ , $Y$ , AND $Z$	161
11	OPTICAL EXAMINATION OF BIAXIAL CRYSTALS	
	OVERVIEW	169
	INTRODUCTION	170
	DETERMINATION OF BIAXIALITY	170
	DETERMINATION OF OPTIC SIGN	171
	MEASUREMENT OF INDICES	173
	MEASUREMENT OF 2V	176
	MEASUREMENT AND SIGNIFICANCE OF EXTINCTION ANGLES	178
	ABSORPTION AND PLEOCHROISM	182
	RECORDING DATA	183
	REVIEW QUESTIONS	184
12	2 SPINDLE STAGE STUDY OF BIAXIAL CRYSTALS	
	OVERVIEW	185
	THE CONOSCOPIC METHOD	186
	SOLUTION OF ORTHOSCOPIC DATA: EXCALIBR	188
	MEASURING $\alpha_{D_0}$ , $\beta_{D_0}$ AND $\gamma_{D_0}$	195
	COMPUTER-ASSISTED MINERAL IDENTIFICATION	195
	POSSIBILITIES	195
	APPLICATIONS	201

## 13 RAPID OPTICAL DETERMINATION OF ASBESTOS FIBERS BY DISPERSION STAINING

OVERVIEW	203
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES	
FOR ASBESTOS IDENTIFICATION	203
MEASURING A FIBER'S REFRACTIVE INDICES	203
PRECAUTIONS	213
RECOMMENDED READINGS	216
THE ISOGYRE	217
APPENDIX I: DATA FOR CARGILLE LIQUIDS	219
APPENDIX II: PROPERTIES OF ELLIPSES	
APPENDIX III: RECORDING DATA	
REFERENCES CITED	229
INDEX	233

## **PREFACE**

The polarizing light microscope (PLM) remains the premier tool for rapidly identifying the minerals and mineral reactions that occur in petrographic thin sections of rocks. This present text, like its predecessor (*An Introduction to the Methods of Optical Crystallography*, 1961, Holt, Rinehart and Winston), is intended to supply a firm foundation for such petrographic studies. Thus it includes much of the material from the 1961 text, particularly the marvelous illustrations, drawn by Mark Klopp, that students found so helpful. An innovation is the brief overview that precedes each chapter. These may be sufficient to allow the reader to skip to the next chapter.

The PLM has also been quintessential for determination of the properties of single crystals or fragments thereof. In recent years, however, the precision and ease of such determinations has undergone a quantum leap, thanks to (1) the increased availability, speed, and power of personal computers and (2) an inexpensive device called a spindle stage, which permits remarkably precise optical measurements to be made on single crystals, particularly biaxial ones (see The Microscope, 1992, vol. 40, no. 1). Even the simplest spindle stage, if mounted on the stage of a PLM, allows a crystal to be rotated about two axes: The M-axis, the vertical axis of rotation routinely supplied by a PLM's rotatable stage, and the S-axis, the horizontal axis of rotation that a spindle stage supplies. These two rotational capabilities permit the principal refractive indices of any anisotropic, transparent crystal to be determined by the immersion method without appreciable error from misorientation. The procedures are so simple that beginning students, once they've learned the immersion method of determining refractive indices, can employ them almost immediately. The basic procedure involves rotating the crystal about axis S to each of the set positions —  $S = 0^{\circ}$ ,  $S = 10^{\circ}$ ...  $S = 180^{\circ}$  — and, at each such position, rotating the microscope stage to the position —  $M_0$ ,  $M_{10}$  ...  $M_{180}$  that causes crystal extinction. The resultant crystal extinction data, entered into a personal computer and solved by the computer program EXCALIBR (Bartelmehs, et al., 1992), will yield 2V, the optic axial angle. It also computes  $S_x$ ,  $M_x$ ;  $S_y$ ,  $M_y$ ; and  $S_z$ ,  $M_z$ —the settings of the spindle axis (S) and of the microscope stage (M) that respectively orient the crystal so that its principal indices  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  can be measured as easily as if the crystal were isotropic.

EXCALIBR locates the five significant biaxial vectors—namely, the two optic axes plus X, Y, and Z—with unprecedented precision and calculates 2V to within a fraction of a degree. If crystal extinctions for up to five different wavelengths are submitted, EXCALIBR calculates the angular shifts in position (with wavelength) for each of the five significant vectors. It then estimates statistically whether these shifts can be ascribed to dispersion or to chance.

The ease and precision with which 2V can be determined at several different wavelengths for biaxial crystals suggests many practical uses for spindle stage data. For example, during the manufacture of biaxial pharmaceuticals, the angle 2V, which is highly sensitive to compositional change and to changes in the crystal's atomic structure, could well prove to be a sensitive monitor for quality control. And in forensic studies, the finding that 2V for feldspar, amphibole, or pyroxene crystals occurring in soil or sand at the crime site agree to within one degree with similar crystals from soil or sand samples associated with the suspect should be compelling evidence.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge my debt to the many people who have been so helpful. I'll start with Ray E. Wilcox, now retired from the U. S. Geological Survey. I learned a lot under your gentle tutelage, Ray. And then there are the students that taught me. From 1951 to 1957 at the University of Tennessee, I learned from Louis S. Walter,

Robert Milici, and G.V. Gibbs, the latter also my colleague for over 30 years. At Southern Illinois University (1957-1967) I learned from Paul Robinson, Ray Kerns, and from my colleague there, Jen-Ho Fang. At Virginia Tech (1957-1991) I have had the pleasure of collaborating with many students, from undergraduates to postdoctorals. For example, Ed Wolfe helped me to get the ball rolling relative to precise optical studies of crystals at elevated temperatures and at wavelengths beyond the visible. As did the late John Louisnathan who went from my lab to Corning's and in 1996-97 won their Stookey Award for his development of a new technique to determine the core geometry in optical fibers with unprecedented precision. And during his master's study, Kevin Selkregg used combined X-ray and spindle stage studies of cordierites to explode the myth that the distortion index was a measure of structural state. Around 1979, a postdoctoral student from Germany, Thomas Armbruster, carried out many brilliant experiments on the optics and crystal structure of cordierite. Thomas successfully demonstrated why cordierite was sometimes optically positive instead of negative (CO<sub>2</sub> was occupying its channels). This also explained why, in one locality, all the cordierites on one side of a fault were (+) and on the other (-). Another student, Mickey Gunter, now at the University of Idaho, practically became a colleague while doing a master's and Ph.D. with me. During his X-ray/spindle stage study of the solid solution series between andalusite and kanonaite, Mickey found that the plots of principal refractive indices versus manganese content actually crossed so that, at one particular composition, an isotropic andalusite existed. In 1981 its existence was observed in a thin section by the late Jeff Grambling of the University of New Mexico. Also in 1981, Shu-Chun Su began a doctoral study (with Paul Ribbe and me) that showed how the optical properties of the K-feldspars did vary with structural state. The resultant paper, in which David Stewart of the U.S. Geological Survey joined us, showed that the optic axial angle 2V, so easily and precisely determined by spindle stage methods, offered a ready measure of K-feldspar's structural state. Around this time, Laura Davis (now DeLoach) showed how the principal refractive indices of mica flakes could be precisely measured using an Abbé refractometer. Soon thereafter, Daniel Greiner showed that 2V, as measured for members of the amblygonite-montebrasite series, allowed their fluorine contents to be estimated to within 2 mole per cent.

Looking beyond the scope of my own university, I thank Dr. Walter McCrone for his many kindnesses to me and my students. He has, with enthusiasm, taught the world about the practical use of optics in asbestos identification, in checking the authenticity of oil paintings, and in forensic studies.

Prof. Ann G. Wylie of the University of Maryland contributed to the initial draft of the section on dispersion staining (Chapter 5). Subsequently, Dr. Shu-Chun Su of the Research Center of Hercules Incorporated added greatly to this section. Moreover, Chapter 13 (Rapid Determination of Asbestos...) is largely based on Rapidly and Accurately Determining Refractive Indices of Asbestos Fibers by Using Dispersion Staining. This latter is the standard operating procedure for identifying asbestos fibers by polarized light microscopy which Dr. Su wrote in his capacity as a technical expert for NVLAP (the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program) currently administered by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). I thank Dr. Olaf Medenbach of the Institute for Mineralogy at Bochum for providing the illustration (see Fig. 12-8) of his outstandingly versatile spindle stage. I also thank Bob Sacher of R. P. Cargille Laboratories for supplying the refractive index data for the Cargille refractive index liquids.

Jan Hinsch of Leica, a friend for 30 years and always a source of bubbling enthusiasm relative to microscopy, kindly read (and improved) Chapter 4. He was also so kind as to advise me relative to Köhler illumination. I also thank Dr. Walter J. Patzelt

of Leica Microsystems for allowing use of Leica's Michel-Levy charts and for assisting in the modifications which increase the chart's usefulness for identifying minerals in petrographic thin sections.

Jodi Rosso performed, in a remarkably short time, the task of optical scanning of the 1961 text and getting it on disk so that large portions of it could be integrated into this present text. Margie Sentelle added to it the bulk of the new material I wrote. Amy Braford Peterson did yeoman's work in putting finishing touches on the manuscript. My grandson, Andrew Kensler, used his considerable expertise in computer graphics to generate some remarkable new illustrations for this book.

I thank my colleague of over 30 years, Paul H. Ribbe, for editing this text and reading it critically. Other critical readers to whom I am grateful include Edward F. Lener, Dr. Mickey E. Gunter, Dr. Shu-Chun Su, and Dr. J. Alexander Speer.

Last but by no means least, I thank my wife Louise, to whom this book is dedicated, for giving the right answer to the question I asked her 55 years ago.

F. D. Bloss Blacksburg, VA April 1999